

AI in biomedical research: unleashing the potential of a transformative partnership

Zeba Haque¹, Farina Hanif², Muhammad Owais³

Biomedical Science research, by its very nature, generates colossal volumes of data ranging from genomics to medical imaging, that is both complex and diverse. It demands a lot of time and expertise to translate that data into meaningful interpretations to be applied on the bedside. AI algorithms on the other hand, possess the exceptional ability to examine this wealth of information, uncovering patterns and relationships. It has been claimed that it may elude even the most astute human minds¹ in some areas of social sciences. The interpretation of data in the field of Biomedical research, however, remains debatable². Nonetheless, it can accelerate the pace of scientific discovery, enabling researchers to identify novel therapeutic targets, understand disease mechanisms, and develop innovative treatments. Moreover, the resounding question that echoes across laboratories and research institutions globally is not whether AI can be employed in biomedical research, but instead, how extensively we can tap into its complete potential.

The integration of AI into Biomedical Sciences is yet not free from its set of challenges. Privacy concerns and ethical considerations related to data usage must be diligently addressed. Additionally, robust validation and transparency in AI models are essential to ensure their reliability and safety³.

The very question of the current topic can be modified to that "Do AI-generated programmes have the potential to be harnessed to an extraordinary degree in biomedical research? The answer is simply YES, pertaining to the impacts AI already being felt in but not limited to Data Analysis and Interpretation, Drug Discovery, Diagnostics

^{1,2}Department of Biochemistry Dow International Medical College,

³Coordinator 1st International Conference on Biomedical Sciences Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Zeba Haque Email: z.haque@duhs.edu.pk

ORCID ID. 0000-0001-6915-5113

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA-DUHS-S01>

and Early Detection of disease, Personalized Medicine, Biological Research, Literature Mining, and other areas in an ever-evolving list of health sciences related fields. At this point, as we embrace the era of AI in Biomedical Sciences research, we must remain vigilant in ensuring equitable access to these technological advancements to all, introducing it to the very beginners in Biomedical research. This will empower our up-coming scientists who will be well-versed in both the advantages and disadvantages of machine learning and AI while upholding ethical principles⁴.

The extent of AI's utilization in biomedical research is bound to expand, driven by technological advances, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the commitment to ethical and responsible deployment. The partnership between AI and biomedical research is set to define a new era in scientific exploration, drug development, and patient care. With a sense of responsibility and an unwavering commitment to the betterment of humanity, we can unleash the full potential of this transformative partnership and witness healthcare and scientific research ascend to unparalleled heights. The journey has only just begun, and the future looks bright!.

References

1. Sætra HS. A shallow defence of a technocracy of artificial intelligence: Examining the political harms of algorithmic governance in the domain of government. *Technol Soc* 2020;62:101283. doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101283.
2. Durán JM, Jongasma KR. Who is afraid of black box algorithms? On the epistemological and ethical basis of trust in medical AI. *J Med Ethics* 2021. doi: 10.1136/medethics-2020-106820. [ahead of print]
3. Pyrrho M, Cambraia L, de Vasconcelos VF. Privacy and Health Practices in the Digital Age. *Am J Bioeth* 2022;22:50-9. doi: 10.1080/15265161.2022.2040648.
4. Kargl M, Plass M, Müller H. A Literature Review on Ethics for AI in Biomedical Research and Biobanking. *Yearb Med Inform* 2022;31:152-60. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1742516.