1 DOI: https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.751

2

- Eating behaviors as predictors of satisfaction with food related
- 4 life

5

- 6 Samia Wasif, Maheen Sohail, Maleeha Zaheer
- 7 COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan
- 8 Correspondence: Samia Wasif. Email: samia.wasif@comsats.edu.pk

9

10 Abstract

- Objective: To identify how different eating behaviours are correlated, and to
- analyse which behaviour is a true predictor of food satisfaction while comparing
- the pattern in gender behaviours.
- 14 Methods: The correlational study was conducted at the Department of
- Humanities, COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan, from January to May,
- 16 2019, and comprised adult individuals of both genders from different
- universities and food outlets located in Islamabad. Data was collected using the
- adult eating behaviour questionnaire and satisfaction with food-related life
- scale. Data was analysed using SPSS version 25.
- 20 **Results:** Of the 430 subjects, 183(42.5%) males and 247(57.4%) were females.
- 21 All subscales of the adult eating behaviour questionnaire were correlated
- 22 positively with the satisfaction with food-related life scale scale except for
- 23 satiety responsiveness which showed negative correlation (r=-0.07). Enjoyment
- of food, food responsiveness and hunger subscales acted as true predictors of
- satisfaction with food-related life with correlation values of 0.37, 0.38 and 0.33
- 26 respectively. Significant difference was found across gender only on satiety
- responsiveness (p<0.05).

- 28 Conclusion: Increase in enjoyment of food, food responsiveness and hunger
- were found to increase satisfaction with food-related life.
- 30 **Key Words:** Eating behaviours, Satisfaction with food-related life, Satiety
- 31 responsiveness, Enjoyment of food.

32

33

43

44

45

46

52

54

55

Introduction

Eating, a requisite for human life, can be defined as an essentially rewarding behaviour which is intrinsically accompanied by mood and emotions.¹ A person's social, genetic, physiological and psychological factors interact with

one another and influence his food preferences, meal timing and quantity of

food, and, therefore, they collectively are eating behaviour.² Physiological and

emotional states of an individual are greatly affected by consuming food,³

40 therefore the significance of food is inevitable for the sustenance of human life.⁴

Eating, indeed, is indispensable for survival, but lack or access of it can lead to

42 under-nutrition, over-nutrition and eating disorders.⁵ Moreover, over-eating

often leads to obesity and may create health problems.^{6,7} Externality theory is

one of the prominent theories which focus on the importance of external cues in

obese people compared to internal hunger and satiety signals. According to this

theory, obese people react more to external cues than internal hunger^{8,9}.

47 According to psychosomatic theory, excessive stress and low mood lead to

over-eating in people.^{8,9} It occurs when people become unable to differentiate

between hunger and adverse emotional conditions. Thus, this inability causes

50 over-eating in many people. It could also be termed emotional eating .^{8,9}

People having food approach traits, such as 'food responsiveness' usually have

larger appetite or show greater interest in food. 10 Some people possess food

avoidance traits, such as 'satiety responsiveness', and, thus, have smaller

appetite or lower interest in food. 10 Demographic variables, such as age, gender,

and socioeconomic status (SES), and other factors, such as delivery and

distribution of food to markets, affect the choice and intake of food within a 56 culture.¹¹ Moreover, socio-cultural and ethnic factors also affect choice of food 57 and role of food in people's life. 12 Studies 13,14 have shown food and diet quality 58 as an important domain of life that is positively linked with overall life 59 satisfaction. Satisfaction with life is defined as an overall assessment of feelings. 60 and attitudes about one's life at a particular point in time ranging from negative 61 to positive.¹⁵ A person's overall assessment regarding their food and eating 62 habits is termed satisfaction with his food-related life (SWFRL). People 63 experience significant change and improvement in their mood after food 64 consumption and intake of calories.¹⁷ 65 The current study was planned to explore eating behaviours closely linked to 66

68

69

67

Subjects and Methods

SWFRL.

70 The correlational study was conducted at the Department of Humanities,

71 COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan, from January to May, 2019, and

comprised adult individuals of both genders from different universities and food

outlets located in Islamabad. After approval from the institutional ethics review

board, the sample size was calculated using Raosoft online calculator with

75 95% confidence interval (CI) and 5% margin of error.

The sample was raised using purposive sampling technique from among adults

at different universities, including COMSATS University, Bahria University,

78 and Quaid-e-Azam University (QAU) and restaurants including Roasters,

79 Ginyaki and KFC in Islamabad. Permission from respective managements was

obtained in this regard. Online Google forms¹⁹ were also used to approach

prospective subjects.

Those included were educated individuals of either gender aged >20 years who

could understand the English language. The rest were excluded.

- After taking informed consent of the subjects, they were categorised into two
- age groups; 20-35 years; and >35 years.
- Data was collected using a demographic sheet along with adult eating behaviour
- questionnaire (AEBQ) and the SWFRL scale which were all in the English
- language. The AEBQ was originally found to be valid and reliable with alpha
- value $>0.70^{10}$. It is a self-report inventory based on 35 items, including four
- 90 food approach subscales and four food avoidance subscales. The responses are
- scored using a likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree'.
- 92 Higher score shows higher indication of occurrence of that eating behaviour.
- The questionnaire includes questions about different eating behaviours,
- 94 including food responsiveness (FR), emotional over-eating (EOE), emotional
- under-eating (EUE), enjoyment of food (EF), hunger (H), satiety responsiveness
- 96 (SR), food fussiness (FF) and slowness in eating (SE). The AEBQ's subscales
- showed good internal reliability with chronbach alpha value >0.70 in the current
- 98 study.
- 99 SWFRL scale¹⁷ is a valid and reliable tool with reported alpha value of 0.852²⁰.
- 100 It comprises 5 items scored on a likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' to
- 101 'strongly agree'. The scores range from 5 to 30 and higher scores reflect
- elevated level of a person's satisfaction with his food-related life. The alpha
- coefficient of the scale in the present study was 0.75.
- Data was analysed using SPSS version 25. Frequencies and percentages of
- demographic data were computed. Percentages of eating behaviours were
- calculated using descriptive statistics. Mean values and standard deviations
- 107 were used to express differences across gender on eating behaviours assessed
- with t test. Correlation between subscales of AEBQ and SWFRL scale was also
- found using Pearson correlation analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically
- significant.

Results

112

- Of the 430 subjects, 183(42.5%) males and 247(57.4%) were females. The
- highest mean value was for EF 4.07+/-0.79, indicating that most participants
- enjoyed themselves while eating food.
- A significant positive correlation was found between SWFRL and FR (r=0.38)
- EF (r=0.37**), and H (r=0.33) subscales, while SR showed negative correlation
- 118 (Table 1).
- Multiple linear regression showed that EF and FR accounted for unique
- variance in SWFRL (Table 2).
- No significant difference was found across gender in eating behaviours except
- for SR, with females scoring higher than males (p<0.05).

123

124

Discussion

- The study found that EF, FR and H had significant positive relationship with
- SWFRL. EF and FR were significant in predicting a participant's satisfaction
- with food-related life. A significant difference was found across the gender only
- 128 on SR.
- Positive correlation found between EF and SWFRL is also supported by earlier
- findings²¹. Positive correlation found in the current study between FR and
- SWFRL is also in line with literature. ^{17,22} In the current study, H was also
- found to be positively correlated with SWFRL and literature has shown that
- food nourishes our body and makes us feel wholesome and complete²³. SR
- 134 showed negative correlation with SWFRL in the current study, indicating that
- decrease in SR will increase SWFRL. It is in accordance with previous
- research²⁴.
- 137 Regression analysis showed that EF and FR were significant in predicting a
- participant's SWFRL. This is supported by previous stdies.^{22,17}

- There was no major difference across gender in terms of eating behaviours
- except for SR where females scored higher than males. These findings are in
- line with earlier research²⁵.
- The current study has limitations as participants of all ages were not included.
- There were only a few participants in the category of middle adulthood,
- Furthermore, population unable to understand the English language was also
- 145 excluded.

146

147 Conclusion

- People mostly eat for enjoyment and increase in EF increased SWFRL. The
- positive correlation between FR and H with SWFRL indicated that FR and H
- also heightened people's SWFRL.

151

- 152 **Disclaimer:** None.
- 153 **Conflict of interest:** None.
- 154 **Source of funding:** None

155

156 References

- 157 1. Meule A, Vogele C. The Psychology of Eating. Frontiers in Psychology.
- 2013; 4. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00215
- 2. Grimm ER, Steinle NI. Genetics of eating behavior: Established and
- 160 emerging concepts. Nutrition Reviews. 2011; 69(1):52-60. doi:
- 161 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00361.x.
- Hamburg ME, Finkenauer C, Schuengel C. Food for love: the role of food
- offering in empathic emotion regulation. Frontiers in Psychology. 2014.
- doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00032

- 4. Singh P. The Importance of Food. [Blog Post]. 2014. [Cited 2019]
- February 26]. Available from
- https://www.gdgoenkauniversity.com/studentblog/the-importance-of-food/
- 5. Bonow R, Gheorghiade M. The diabetes epidemic: A national and global
- 169 crisis. The American Journal of Medicine. 2004. DOI:
- 170 10.1016/j.amjmed.2003.10.014.
- 6. Barry D, Clarke M, Petry NM. Obesity and Its Relationship to Addictions:
- Is Overeating a Form of Addictive Behavior?. NCBI. 2010; 18(6): 439-
- 451. doi: 10.3109/10550490903205579
- 7. Djalalinia S, Qorbani M, Peykari N, Kelishadi R, Health Impacts of
- Obesity. Pakistan Journal of Medical Science. 2015; 31(1): 239–242.
- doi: 10.12669/pjms.311.7033
- 177 8. Tsichlia G, Johnstone A. Fat Matters: From Sociology to Science. M&K
- Publishing. 2010.
- 9. Hirsch O, Kluckner VJ, Brandt S, Moss A, Weck M, Florath I, et al.
- 180 Restrained and External-Emotional Eating Patterns in Young Overweight
- 181 Children–Results of the Ulm Birth Cohort Study. PLOS ONE. 2014; 9(8).
- doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105303
- 183 10. Hunot C, Fildes A, Croker H, Llewellyn CH, Wardle J, Beeken RJ.
- Measuring appetitive traits in adults. What do we know about their
- relationships to weight. Appetite. 2016.
- doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.05.024
- 11. Shepherd R, Raats M. The Psychology of Food Choice. UK: Biddles Ltd.
- 188 2006; pp 41–250
- 189 12. Roudsari AH, Vedahir A, Amirir P, Kalantari S, Omidvar N, Zinab HE, et
- al. Psycho-Socio-Cultural Determinants of Food Choice: A Qualitative
- Study on Adults in Social and Cultural Context of Iran. Iranian Journal of

- 192 Psychiatry.2017;12(4). [Cited 2019 March 3]. Available from
- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5816913/
- 13. Schnettler B, Lobos G, Orellana L, Grunert K, Sepúlveda J, Mora M, et
- al..Analyzing Food-Related Life Satisfaction and other Predictors of
- Life Satisfaction in Central Chile. Spanish Journal of Psychology. 2015;
- 197 18: 1-14. DOI: 10.1017/sjp.2015.32
- 14. Schnettler B, Lobos G, Miranda ZE, Denegri M, Ares G, Hueche C. Diet
- quality satisfaction with life, family life, and food-related life across
- families: a cross -sectional pilot study with mother-father-adolescents
- triads. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.
- 202 2017; 14(11). doi: 10.3390/ijerph14111313.
- 15. Schnettler B, Miranda H, Lobos G, Orellana L, Sepulveda J, Denegri M,
- et al. Eating habits and subjective well-being. A typology of students in
- 205 Chilean state universities. Appetite, 2015; 89: 203-214. doi:
- 206 10.1016/j.appet.2015.02.008
- 207 16. Buetell NJ. Life Satisfaction in Relation to Work and Family. Research
- Gate. 2006. [cited 2019 March 29] Available from
- 209 <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259486067_Life_Satisfaction_in</u>
- 210 <u>Relation to Work and Family</u>
- 211 17. Grunert K, Dean D, Raats M, Nielsen N, Lumbers M. A measure of
- satisfaction with food-related life. Appetite. 2007; 49: 486-493. DOI:
- 213 10.1016/j.appet.2007.03.010
- 18. Raosoft.inc. Raosoft: Sample size calculator. [INTERNET]. 2004.[cited
- 215 2019 September 7]. Available from
- http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html
- 19. Eating behavior and satisfaction with food related life among adults.
- [Google form]. 2019. [cited 2019 January 21]. Available from

- 219 https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSclWIhb1Eb3YIt3otQK5GID-cwpk9sywFdrJ-
- 220 yJsYBo2p2qsg/viewform?fbclid=lwAR35MsjJuC75WDxm-3X99IWudEXW1uoULI4HJbl8 iY-
- 221 <u>atlVFt4Huxu6BDU&fbzx=-2814055976797585530</u>
- 222 20. Schnetller B, Miranda H, Sepulveda J, Denegri M, Mora M, Lobos G, et al.
- Psychometric Properties of the Satisfaction With Food-Related Life Scale:
- Application in Southern Chile. Journal of Nutrition Education and
- 225 Behavior. 2013; 45: 443-449. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2012.08.003
- 21. Bongers P, Jansen A, Havermans R, Roefs A, Nederkoorn C. Happy
- eating: The underestimated role of overeating in a positive mood.
- Appetite.2013; (67).doi: 74-80 10.1016
- 22. Dingemans AE, Martijn C, Jansen A, Furth EV. The effect of suppressing
- negative emotions on eating behavior in binge eating disorder. Appetite.
- 232 2009; 52(1):51-7 doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2008.08.004.
- 23. Bays, J.C. Satisfaction and fullness two different aspects of hunger and
- two very different experiences [Blog Post]. 2016. [cited 2019 May 1]
- Available From http://www.me-cl.com/satisfaction-fullness-two-different-
- 236 aspects-hunger-two-different-experiences/
- 237 24. Reyes M, Hoyos V, Martinez SM, Lozoff B, Castillo M, Burrows R, et al.
- Satiety responsiveness and eating behavior among Chilean adolescents and
- the role of breastfeeding. International Journal of Obesity. 2013; 38(4):
- 240 552-557. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2013.191
- 25. Bedard A, Hudon AM, Drapeau V, Corneau L, Dodin S, Lemieux S.
- Gender Differences in the Appetite Response to a Satiating Diet. Journal of
- Obesity. 2015 .doi: 10.1155/2015/140139.

244

245 -----

Table 1: Pearson correlation analysis between sub-scales of adult eating behaviours questionnaire (AEBQ) and satisfaction with food-related life (SWFRL) scale.

| Variables | SWFRL | M | SD |
|-----------|-------|------|-----|
| EF | .37** | 4.07 | .79 |
| EOE | .13 | 2.74 | .97 |
| EUE | .04 | 3.12 | .85 |
| FF | .10 | 3.22 | .74 |
| FR | .38** | 3.38 | .85 |
| H | .33** | 3.27 | .74 |
| SE | .09 | 2.93 | .77 |
| SR | 07 | 2.99 | .78 |

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, M: Mean scores, SD: Standard deviation, EF: Enjoyment of food, EOE:

250 Emotional overeating, EUE: Emotional under-eating, FF: Food fussiness, FR: Food

Responsiveness, H: Hunger, SE: Slowness in eating, SR: Satiety responsiveness.

252

253 -----

254

Table 2: Predictors of satisfaction with food-related life (SWFRL).

255256

| | | | | 257 |
|----------------|---------------|-------|-----------|-----|
| Variables | В | SWFRL | ((()) | 258 |
| | | LL | UL | 259 |
| | | | | 260 |
| Constant | 12.7 | 11.15 | 14.16 | 261 |
| | | | , | 262 |
| EF | .95 | .513 | 1.38 | 263 |
| 121 | .)3 | | 1.50 | 264 |
| FR | .92 | .518 | 1.32 | 265 |
| rĸ | .92 | .316 | 1.32 | 266 |
| | 45.5 | | | 267 |
| F | 45.7 | | | 268 |
| | | | | 269 |
| \mathbb{R}^2 | .18 | | | 270 |
| | \ <u>'</u> \' | | | 271 |

LL: Lower limit, UL: Upper limit, EF: Enjoyment of food, FR: Food responsiveness.

273

274 ------

275 276

Table 3: Mean (M), standard deviation (SD) and t-values of eating behavioirs on the basis of gender (N=430)

| | Malo (n=1 | | Females (n=247) | | | |
|-----------|--------------|----|-----------------|----|---|---|
| Variables | M | SD | M | SD | t | P |

| EF | 4.08 | .84 | 4.06 | .74 | .25 | .80 |
|-----|------|-----|------|-----|---------|-----|
| EOE | 2.7 | .97 | 2.7 | .97 | .14 | .89 |
| EUE | 3.0 | .87 | 3.1 | .83 | 1.94 | .05 |
| FF | 3.2 | .71 | 3.2 | .77 | .27 | .79 |
| FR | 3.4 | .85 | 3.3 | .85 | .28 | .78 |
| Н | 3.2 | .76 | 3.2 | .73 | .08 | .93 |
| SE | 2.9 | .82 | 2.9 | .74 | .60 | .54 |
| SR | 2.8 | .80 | 3.0 | .75 | 3.12*** | .00 |

EF: Enjoyment of food, EOE: Emotional overeating, EUE: Emotional under-eating, FF: Food fussiness, FR: Food responsiveness, H: Hunger, SE: Slowness in eating, SR: Satiety responsiveness, p<0.001.